Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name City of Kenora	Phone
Title	
Municipality	
E-mail	

Related documents and links

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON

SLC 91 0205 13 Financial Information Return.

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY						
2008	2007	2006	2005			
\$1.38	\$1.23	\$1.14	\$1.42			

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

Objective

Efficient fire services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 1102 13 Financial Information Return.

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY				
2008	2007	2006	2005	
\$532.05	\$474.33	\$427.48	\$413.71	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for police services per person.

Objective

Efficient police services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 1203 13 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	26.32	29.07	25.78	34.26	28.49
Effectiveness /iolent crime ra Dbjective Safe communit	ate per 1,000 per	rsons.			
lotes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults		
SLC 92 1258 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			
	3.3 PRO		RATE - EFFECT		
				IVENESS	
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Objective Safe communit	2008 51.44 Measure rate per 1,000 p	2007 58.15 ersons.	2006 56.42		2004 79.75
Property crime Objective Safe communit Notes & Key F	2008 51.44 Measure rate per 1,000 p ies.	2007 58.15 ersons.	2006 56.42	2005	
Property crime Objective Safe communit Notes & Key F	2008 51.44 Measure rate per 1,000 p ies. Factors for Unde	2007 58.15 ersons.	2006 56.42	2005 74.53	
Property crime Objective Safe communit Notes & Key F	2008 51.44 Measure rate per 1,000 p ies. Factors for Unde inancial Information 3.4 TO 2008	2007 58.15 ersons. erstanding Reso	2006 56.42	2005 74.53	
Property crime Objective Safe communit Notes & Key F	2008 51.44 Measure rate per 1,000 p ies. Factors for Unde inancial Information 3.4 TO	2007 58.15 ersons. erstanding Resu Return. TAL CRIME RA	2006 56.42 Jlts TE - EFFECTIV	2005 74.53 ENESS	79.75

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
2008	2007	2006	2005		
208.48	50.57	202.05	175.43		

Effectiveness Measure

Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.

Objective

Safe Communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions.

SLC 92 1265 07 Financial Information Return.

Roads

CONTACT PERSON

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$1580.06	\$694.23	\$583.41	\$895.01	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 2107 13 Financial Information Return.

	4.2	UNPAVED RO	ADS – EFFICIEI		
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$673.81	\$1757.95	\$1962.30	\$1789.97	
Efficiency Mea	isure				
Operating costs	s for unpaved (lo	oose top) roads	per lane kilometr	е.	
Objective Efficient mainte	nance of unpav	ed roads.			
	actors for Lind	aratan dina Daa			
notes a ney r		erstanding Res	นแอ		
					_
	inancial Information	i totaini.			
	4.3 WINTER		E OF ROADS –	EFFICIENCY	
	4.3 WINTER 2008	MAINTENANC	E OF ROADS – 2006	EFFICIENCY 2005	
					-
-	2008 \$1660.69 Isure	2007 \$1809.55	2006	2005 \$2068.11	ned in
Operating costs	2008 \$1660.69 Isure	2007 \$1809.55	2006 \$1799.76	2005 \$2068.11	ned in
Operating costs Objective	2008 \$1660.69 asure as for winter main	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road	2006 \$1799.76	2005 \$2068.11	ned i
Objective	2008 \$1660.69 Isure	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road	2006 \$1799.76	2005 \$2068.11	ned i
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter	2008 \$1660.69 asure as for winter main maintenance of	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road roads.	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki	2005 \$2068.11	ned in
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter	2008 \$1660.69 asure as for winter main maintenance of	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki	2005 \$2068.11	ned in
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter	2008 \$1660.69 asure as for winter main maintenance of	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road roads.	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki	2005 \$2068.11	ned in 1
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter	2008 \$1660.69 asure as for winter main maintenance of	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road roads.	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki	2005 \$2068.11	ned in
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter Notes & Key F	2008 \$1660.69 Issure for winter main maintenance of actors for Unde	2007 \$1809.55 Itenance of road roads. erstanding Res	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki	2005 \$2068.11 ometre maintair	
Operating costs Objective Efficient winter Notes & Key F	2008 \$1660.69 Issure for winter main maintenance of actors for Unde	2007 \$1809.55 Intenance of road roads. erstanding Res	2006 \$1799.76 ways per lane ki ults	2005 \$2068.11 ometre maintair	

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100%	100%	100%	57.1%	58.6%
Objective	Measure paved lane kilom ndition meets mur			ed as good to ver	ry good.
	Factors for Unde	. ,			
SIC 92 2152 07	Financial Information	Return			
				Alexandress and the second sec	
				the Index used by the	
	ion is rated using a Pa on or the Ministry of T				
	on or the Ministry of T		ds Inventory Manag	ement System (RIM	
	on or the Ministry of T	ransportation's Roa	ds Inventory Manag	ement System (RIM	
	on or the Ministry of T 4.5 WINTEI	ransportation's Roa	ds Inventory Manag	ement System (RIM	S).
Roads Associatio	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100%	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005	S). 2004
Roads Associatio	4.5 WINTE 2008 100% Measure	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100%	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100% Measure winter events wh	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of	4.5 WINTE 2008 100% Measure	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100% Measure winter events wh	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100% Measure winter events wh	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100%	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective Appropriate re	4.5 WINTER 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena esponse to winter	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance. storm events.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100% e met or exceed	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective Appropriate re	4.5 WINTEL 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance. storm events.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100% e met or exceed	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective Appropriate re	4.5 WINTER 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena esponse to winter	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance. storm events.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100% e met or exceed	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective Appropriate re	4.5 WINTER 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena esponse to winter	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance. storm events.	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100% e met or exceed	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%
Roads Association Effectiveness Percentage of service levels Objective Appropriate re Notes & Key	4.5 WINTER 2008 100% Measure winter events wh for road maintena esponse to winter	ransportation's Roa R EVENT RESP 2007 100% here the respons ance. storm events. erstanding Res	ds Inventory Manag ONSES - EFFE 2006 100% e met or exceed	ement System (RIM CTIVENESS 2005 100%	s). 2004 100%

Conventional Transit

CONTACT PERSON

5.1 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$3.62	\$3.36	\$3.35	\$3.37	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.

Objective

Efficient conventional transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 2302 13 Financial Information Return.

5.2 CONVER	NTIONAL TRAN	SIT RIDERSHIP	- EFFECTIVEN	ESS
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
9.67	9.20	9.41	8.46	6.43

Effectiveness Measure

Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.

Objective

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 2351 07 Financial Information Return.

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON

6.1 WAS	TEWATER COL	LECTION - EFF	FICIENCY
2008	2007	2006	
\$9830.34	\$10197.09	\$8351.11	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater collection services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3110 13 Financial Information Return.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of wastewater main was redefined; connections were eliminated.

6.	2 WASTEWATI	ER TREATMEN ⁻	T AND DISPOS	AL — EFFICIENC	Y
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$264.47	\$245.92	\$306.26	\$245.97	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3108 13 Financial Information Return.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.3 WASTEW	ATER INTEGRA	TED SYSTEM -	- EFFICIENCY	
2008	2007	2006	2005	
\$709.81	\$686.34	\$747.25	\$521.14	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).

Objective

Efficient wastewater system (Integrated System).

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3109 13 Financial Information Return.

6.4 WAS	TEWATER MAII	N BACKUPS - E	FFECTIVENES	S
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
40.00	61.31	24.82	35.77	5.86

Effectiveness Measure

Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3154 07 Financial Information Return.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.5 WASTEW	ATER BYPASS	ES TREATMEN	T - EFFECTIVEI	NESS
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
0%	0%	.001%	0%	0%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3155 07 Financial Information Return.

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON

7.1 URBAN ST	FORM WATER	MANAGEMENT	– EFFICIENCY
2008	2007	2006	
\$350.07	\$372.65	\$247.34	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient urban storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained.

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3207 13 Financial Information Return.

7.2 RURAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006
\$-	\$-	\$-

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient rural storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3208 13 Financial Information Return.

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON

8.1 DRINK	ING WATER TR	REATMENT – EI	FFICIENCY	
2008	2007	2006	2005	
\$294.90	\$282.41	\$295.72	\$257.86	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3307 13 Financial Information Return.

8.2 DRI	NKING WATER	DISTRIBUTION	- EFFICIENCY
2008	2007	2006	
\$8432.79	\$8204.50	\$8756.77	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the distribution of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.

Objective

Efficient municipal water distribution services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of distribution pipe was redefined; connections and hydrants were eliminated.

SLC 91 3310 13 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$681.16	\$653.66	\$702.17	\$636.13	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs (Integrated Sys	for the treatme	ent and distribution	on of drinking wa	ter per megalitr	e.
Objective Efficient munici	pal water syster	n (Integrated Sy	stem).		
Key Factors fo	r Understandii	ng Performance			
Formulas for all eff	iciency measures w	vere changed in 200	5 to improve the def	nition of operating	costs
One megalitre equa SLC 91 3309 13 Fi		or 1,000 cubic metr	·		
c .	nancial Information	or 1,000 cubic metr	es.		
c .	nancial Information	or 1,000 cubic metro Return.	es.		2004
c .	nancial Information 8.4 BOIL	or 1,000 cubic metr Return. WATER ADVIS	es. ORIES - EFFEC	TIVENESS	
SLC 91 3309 13 Fi Effectiveness Weighted numb	nancial Information 8.4 BOIL 2008 6.3655 Measure ber of days when municipal water	or 1,000 cubic metro Return. WATER ADVIS 2007 11.18 n a boil water ad	es. ORIES - EFFEC 2006 20.65 visory issued by	TIVENESS 2005 .096	2004 0.0000
SLC 91 3309 13 Fi Effectiveness Weighted numb applicable to a Objective Water is safe a	nancial Information 8.4 BOIL 2008 6.3655 Measure ber of days when municipal water and meets local r	or 1,000 cubic metro Return. WATER ADVIS 2007 11.18 n a boil water ad	es. DRIES - EFFEC 2006 20.65 visory issued by offect.	TIVENESS 2005 .096	2004 0.0000
SLC 91 3309 13 Fi Effectiveness Weighted numb applicable to a Objective Water is safe a	nancial Information 8.4 BOIL 2008 6.3655 Measure ber of days when municipal water and meets local r	or 1,000 cubic metro Return. WATER ADVIS 2007 11.18 n a boil water ad supply, was in e	es. DRIES - EFFEC 2006 20.65 visory issued by offect.	TIVENESS 2005 .096	2004 0.0000

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

8.5 BR	EAKS IN WATE	ER MAINS - EFF	ECTIVENESS	
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
16.79	12.21	9.92	14.50	6.11
1				

Effectiveness Measure

Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.

Objective

Improve system reliability.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3356 07 Financial Information Return.

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON

9.1 GA		ECTION - EFFIC	
2008	2007	2006	2005
\$206.63	\$585.43	\$559.78	\$471.75

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)

Objective

Efficient municipal garbage collection services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 3403 13 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$86.73	\$60.87	\$53.91	\$72.08	
Efficiency Mea				d (Specify)	
	s for garbage dis	sposal per tonne	or per nouseno	id. (Specify)	
Objective	pal garbage dis	nosal services			
	pai garbaye dis				
lotes & Kev F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults		
oles a ney i		erstanding Nes	uits		
			F (a) b a a b a a b a	<i></i>	1 -
ormulas for all eff	iciency measures w	ere changed in 200	5 to improve the de	finition of operating	COSIS.
1 C 01 3503 13 Fi	nancial Information	Return			
SLC 91 3503 13 Fi	nancial Information	Return.			
					,
	9.3 SOLID WA	STE DIVERSIO) – EFFICIENCY	1
			N (RECYCLING 2006) – EFFICIENCY 2005	
	9.3 SOLID WA 2008	STE DIVERSIO 2007	2006	2005	-
	9.3 SOLID WA	STE DIVERSIO			
	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53	STE DIVERSIO 2007	2006	2005	
	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53	STE DIVERSIO 2007	2006	2005	
Efficiency Mea	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44	2006 \$216.24	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44	2006 \$216.24	2005	
Efficiency Mea	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44	2006 \$216.24	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recyc	2006 \$216.24	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44	2006 \$216.24	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recyc	2006 \$216.24	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recyc	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste o	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services.	2005 \$183.79	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key Fa	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste of actors for Unde	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl diversion (recycl erstanding Res	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services. ults	2005 \$183.79	. (Specif
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key Fa	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste of actors for Unde	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl diversion (recycl erstanding Res	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services. ults	2005 \$183.79	. (Specif
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff	9.3 SOLID WA 2008 \$180.53 sure for solid waste pal solid waste of actors for Unde	STE DIVERSIO 2007 \$207.44 diversion (recycl diversion (recycl erstanding Res	2006 \$216.24 cling) per tonne o ing) services. ults	2005 \$183.79	. (Specif

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$117.09	\$102.69	\$90.33	\$102.83	
		lid waste manage	ement (collectio	n, disposal and d	liversion) per
Objective Efficient munici	pal solid waste	management (In	tegrated System	ı).	
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults		
			E to improve the de	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-ormulas for all eff	iciency measures v	were changed in 200	s to improve the de	finition of operating c	COSTS.
	iciency measures v inancial Informatior	-	o to improve the de	inition of operating c	COSTS.
SLC 91 3605 13 F	inancial Information	n Return.			
SLC 91 3605 13 F	inancial Information	LLECTION OF			
SLC 91 3605 13 F	inancial Information	LLECTION OF	GARBAGE ANI		
SLC 91 3605 13 F	inancial Information	CLLECTION OF	GARBAGE ANI VENESS	D RECYCLED M	ATERIALS
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1	ATERIALS 2004 13.8
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com materials per 1 Objective	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure aplaints received ,000 household	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17 rning the collect	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1 ion of garbage ar	ATERIALS 2004 13.8
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com materials per 1 Objective Improved garba	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure plaints received 000 household	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17 rning the collect	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1 ion of garbage ar	ATERIALS 2004 13.8
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com materials per 1 Objective Improved garba	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure plaints received 000 household	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17 rning the collect	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1 ion of garbage ar	ATERIALS 2004 13.8
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com materials per 1 Objective Improved garba	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure plaints received 000 household	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17 rning the collect	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1 ion of garbage ar	ATERIALS 2004 13.8
SLC 91 3605 13 F 9.5 COMP Effectiveness Number of com materials per 1 Objective Improved garba	inancial Information LAINTS — CO 2008 0 Measure plaints received 000 household	A Return.	GARBAGE ANI VENESS 2006 13.17 rning the collect	D RECYCLED M 2005 19.1 ion of garbage ar	ATERIALS 2004 13.8

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.6 N	UMBER OF MUI	NICIPAL SOLID EFFECTI		GEMENT FACIL	ITIES
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	3	3	3	3	3

Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3552 07 Financial Information Return.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

Facility Name	Days 2008	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004
Kenora Area Solid Waste Transfer Facility	0	0	0	0	0
Kenora Area Solid Waste Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0
Tri-Municipal Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0
Please delete un-used rows					

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03

Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2008 FIR.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
-	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	30.7%	32.3%
Effectiveness N Percentage of re		vaste diverted for	or recycling.		
Objective Municipal solid v	vaste reduction	programs diver	t waste from lan	dfills and/or incin	erators.
Notes & Key Fa	ctors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults		
		, eta ligita e			
SLC 92 3655 07 Fin	ancial Information	Return.			
			IDENTIAL SOL		
(Based on C					al Tonnage)
(Based on C		dential and Ind	ustrial/Comme	D WASTE	al Tonnage)
(Based on C	ombined Resid	dential and Ind EFFECT	ustrial/Comme IVENESS	rcial/Institutiona	
(Based on C	ombined Resid	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006	rcial/Institutiona	2004
(Based on C	ombined Resid	dential and Ind EFFECT	ustrial/Comme IVENESS	rcial/Institutiona	
	2008 13.6%	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006	rcial/Institutiona	2004
Effectiveness N	2008 13.6%	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6%	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8%	rcial/Institutiona	2004
Effectiveness M Percentage of re	2008 13.6% Measure	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling	rcial/Institutiona 2005 x%	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re	2008 13.6% Measure	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb	2008 13.6% Measure	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling	rcial/Institutiona 2005 x%	2004 x%
Effectiveness N Percentage of re (based on comb Objective	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness N Percentage of re (based on comb Objective	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti	rcial/Institutiona 2005 x%	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid v	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness N Percentage of re (based on comb Objective	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid v	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid v	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid v	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%
Effectiveness M Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid v	2008 13.6% Measure esidential solid v ined residential	dential and Ind EFFECT 2007 11.6% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial/Comme IVENESS 2006 9.8% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	rcial/Institutiona	2004 x%

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON

	10.1 PARKS -	- EFFICIENCY		
2008	2007	2006	2005	
\$38.74	\$38.19	\$33.08	\$40.2	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for parks per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of parks.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 7102 13 Financial Information Return.

10.2 RE	CREATION PRO	DGRAMS – EFF	ICIENCY
2008	2007	2006	2005
\$41.41	\$41.03	\$11.27	\$7.88

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

This measure is based on total population, not the population participating in recreation programs.

SLC 91 7202 13 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$185.89	\$183.30	\$171.74	\$143.69	
Efficiency Mea					
		facilities per pers	on.		
Objective					
	ion of recreatior	n facilities.			
lotes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults		
			- 40 incompose de c. 1. 6	inition of an anativ	1 -
	inancial Information	vere changed in 200	o to improve the def	inition of operating o	costs.
SLC 91 7303 13 F	inancial information	n Return.			
10.4 RE	CREATION PR			ACILITIES (SUI	BTOTAL
10.4 RE		OGRAMS AND F EFFIC		ACILITIES (SUI	BTOTAL
10.4 RE	2008	EFFIC 2007		ACILITIES (SUI	BTOTAL
10.4 RE		EFFIC		ACILITIES (SUI	BTOTAL
	2008 \$227.30	EFFIC 2007		ACILITIES (SUI	BTOTAL
Efficiency Mea	2008 \$227.30	EFFIC 2007	IENCY		
Efficiency Mea Operating cost	2008 \$227.30	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34	IENCY		
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec	IENCY	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34	IENCY	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreatior	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilitie	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreatior	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec n programs and rec	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilitie	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreatior	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec n programs and rec	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilitie	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreatior	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec n programs and rec	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilitie	per person (Su	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreation	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and rec n programs and rec	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilities ults	e per person (Sul	btotal).
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreation factors for Und	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and red programs and programs and program progra	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilities ults	e per person (Sul	btotal).
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff	2008 \$227.30 asure s for recreation ion of recreation factors for Und	EFFIC 2007 \$224.34 programs and red programs and programs and program progra	IENCY creation facilities ecreation facilities ults	e per person (Sul	btotal).

08 2007 7 77 e	2006 77 2006 5.58	2005 77 2005	2004 77
S. Iformation Return. 08 2007 74 5.74		2005	
08 2007 74 5.74		2005	
74 5.74		2005	
	5.58		2004
•	0.00	5.58	N/A
s per 1,000 persons. n opportunities.			
formation Return.			
10.6 OPEN SPACE	- EFFECTIVEN	ESS	
08 2007	2006		
33 483	340		
e (municipally owned).			
08 2007	2006		
.01 36.01	24.63		
e e per 1,000 persons (mu te for population.	nicipally owned)		
formation Return.			
008 .01 e	2007 36.01	2007 2006 36.01 24.63	2007 2006

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	12154.32	11308.11	4557.11	0	1
Effectiveness I Total participant Objective Recreation prog	t hours for recre		per 1,000 person	S.	
Notes & Key Fa	actors for Unde	erstanding Rest	ults		
		05 to exclude spec			
participants in recre	eation programs.		d by 1,000 and does	not represent the	number of
SLC 92 7255 07 Fir					
			ACILITIES - EFF	ECTIVENESS	;
-	2008 12211	2007 12211	2006 12211		
Effectiveness			unicipally owned)		
Square metres of	of indoor recrea				
Square metres of	of indoor recrea		2006		
Square metres of	of indoor recrea	Return.			
Square metres of SLC 92 7356 05 Fir Effectiveness I Square metres of Objective Recreation facili	of indoor recrea nancial Information 2008 910.32 Measure of indoor recrea	Return. 2007 910.32 tion facility space	2006 884.41 e per 1,000 perso tion.	ons (municipall	
Objective Recreation facili	of indoor recreation ancial Information 2008 910.32 Veasure of indoor recreation ity space is ade re for recreation factor facility space. The recreation factor	Return. 2007 910.32 tion facility space quate for popula cilities was split into new measures are d	2006 884.41 e per 1,000 perso	ons (municipally	

	2008	2007	2006	
	0	0	0	
Effectiveness Square metres	medeale	eation facility space	ce (municipally	v owned).
SLC 92 7359 05 F	inancial Information	n Return.		
	2008	2007	2006	
Effectivences	0	0	0	
Square metres Objective	Measure of outdoor recre		ce per 1,000 p	ersons (municipally owned).
Objective Recreation fac	Measure of outdoor recre ility space is ade ure of recreation fac	eation facility space	ce per 1,000 p tion.	or recreation facilities and a measure of

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON

11.1 LIBR/	ARY COSTS PE	R PERSON – E	FFICIENCY	
2008	2007	2006	2005	
\$47.85	\$43.12	\$40.95	\$45.34	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per person.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7403 13 Financial Information Return.

11.2 LIB	RARY COSTS I	PER USE – EFF	ICIENCY
2008	2007	2006	2005
\$1.21	\$1.08	\$1.15	\$1.12

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per use.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7404 13 Financial Information Return.

2008 39.70 easure berson. library service	2007 39.85	2006 35.62	2005	2004
easure berson.	39.85	35.62	10.01	
person.			40.61	N/A
	^			
tors for Unde	erstanding Resu	ults		
ncial Information	Return.			
11.4 ELECT	RONIC LIBRAR	Y USES - EFFE	CTIVENESS	
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
21.9%	18.1%	12.8%	21.6%	N/A%
.5 NON-ELE				0004
		2006	2005	
2008 78.1%	2007 81.9%	87.2%	78.4%	2004 N/A%
	11.4 ELECT 2008 21.9% easure uses as a pero on library usa tors for Unde	2008200721.9%18.1%easure uses as a percentage of total I o on library usage.on library usage.etors for Understanding Resunction Return.	11.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFE 2008 2007 2006 21.9% 18.1% 12.8% easure uses as a percentage of total library uses. on library usage.	11.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS200820072006200521.9%18.1%12.8%21.6%easureuses as a percentage of total library uses.on library usage.tors for Understanding Results

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON

12.1 LOCATION OF NEW DEVELOPMENT - EFFECTIVENESS						
	2008	2007				
	100%	N/A%				

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.

Objective

New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8170 07 Financial Information Return.

In 2007, the measure for the location of new development was redefined for greater accuracy.

12.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS							
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004		
	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%		

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8163 07 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%
uses relative to Objective	Measure and designated for the base year of agricultural land.	2000.	ourposes which w	vas not re-desigi	nated for othe
Notes & Key Fa	actors for Under	standing Res	ults		
SI C 92 8164 07 Fir	nancial Information R	eturn			
	nancial Information R				
	nancial Information R	AGRICULTU	RAL HECTARES	S DURING REP	ORTING YEA
		AGRICULTU		S DURING REP 2005	ORTING YEA
	IN NUMBER OF	AGRICULTU EFFECT	IVENESS		Ĩ
12.4 CHANGE	IN NUMBER OF 2008 0	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural	2005 0	2004 0
12.4 CHANGE	IN NUMBER OF 2008 0 Measure ares of land origin	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural	2005 0	2004 0
12.4 CHANGE Effectiveness I Number of hecta re-designated for Objective Preservation of	IN NUMBER OF	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural g year.	2005 0	2004 0
12.4 CHANGE Effectiveness I Number of hecta re-designated for Objective Preservation of	IN NUMBER OF 2008 0 Measure ares of land origin or other uses duri	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural g year.	2005 0	2004 0
12.4 CHANGE Effectiveness I Number of hecta re-designated for Objective Preservation of	IN NUMBER OF	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural g year.	2005 0	2004 0
12.4 CHANGE Effectiveness I Number of hecta re-designated for Objective Preservation of	IN NUMBER OF	AGRICULTU EFFECT 2007 0 nally designate	IVENESS 2006 0 d for agricultural g year.	2005 0	2004 0

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

12.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000 EFFECTIVENESS						
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
	0	0	0	0	0	
					•	

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8166 07 Financial Information Return.